

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

DIANE TEDESCO,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:10-cr-00509-JCM-RJJ

ORDER

Presently before the court is *pro se* defendant Diane Tedesco's motion to appeal sentencing protocol procedure. (Doc. # 30). The government filed a response (doc. # 31), and defendant filed a reply. (Doc. # 32).


Simultaneous with the filing of the instant motion, the defendant also filed a motion for a sentence reduction. (Doc. # 29). On November 4, 2015, this court issued an order denying defendant's motion because she was not eligible for a further reduction in her sentence. (Doc. # 33).

The instant motion asks this court to consider defendant's previously-filed motion for a sentence reduction. (Doc. # 29). The government responded that the defendant is not eligible for a further reduction due to the fact that she was previously sentenced below the guideline range. (Doc. # 31). The court notes that in defendant's reply, she asserts that the sentencing guidelines recommended a range of 100-125 months based upon a criminal history category of IV and a total offense level of 27. (Doc. # 32 at 1). However, defendant misunderstands the calculation of her sentence. The defendant was sentenced based upon a criminal history category of VI and a total offense level of 27, making the guideline range 130-162 months. (Doc. # 26 at 4-5). The court

1 then granted a downward departure of two levels, sentencing the defendant to a total of 110  
2 months, a sentence that is below the guideline range for her criminal conduct. (*Id.* at 15, 22).  
3 Because defendant was sentenced below the guideline range, she is not eligible for any further  
4 reductions in her sentence. As the court has already ruled on this matter in defendant's previous  
5 motion, defendant's instant motion to appeal sentencing protocol procedure is denied as moot.  
6 Accordingly,

7 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that the defendant's motion to  
8 appeal sentencing protocol procedure (doc. # 30) be, and the same hereby is, DENIED as MOOT.

9 DATED THIS 5<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2015.

10  
11   
12 JAMES C. MAHAN  
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28